

PAPER EVALUATION SHEET

Title : DETERMINANTS OF THE STOCK PRICE VOLATILITY (STUDY OF THE INDONESIAN MANUFACTURING SECTOR) Date Received :						
Date Received:						
Δ.	Evaluation objects:					
• ••			Yes	No	N/A	
1.	Is the content original?		\boxtimes			
2.						
	Does the abstract reflect the content?			一		
4.				П		
	Is the research methodology clearly described?					
	Do the data presentation and interpretation valid and reasonable?	,				
7.						
8.	Have the discussion and/or analysis been relevant with the resustudy?	ılts of the	\boxtimes			
9.	Are the references used relevant?		\boxtimes			
10		/ery Good		Fair	Poor	
	. Contribution to science					
	. Originality					
12. Systematic						
13. Language						
14	. Writing accuracy		\boxtimes			
B. Reviewer's decision:						
A.	accept					
В.	accept (minor revision)					
C.	accept (major revision)				\boxtimes	
D.	D. accept (major revision)-please return the paper for a second round of reviews					
E.	reject					

No.

E-mail : i:jtos@pmbs.ac.id Phone : (62-21) 751 1126 ext. 8860, 8863-8865 Fax : (62-21) 765 3110

website : www.irjbs.com



Comments(Use additional sheet, if necessary).

This paper investigates the determinant factors of stock price volatility of companies incorporated in manufacturing sector (IDX), with the period of observation spans from 2011 to 2015. I have a good impression of this paper since it tries to develop a unique test instead of using the mainstream tests to achieve the BLUE and efficient criteria on OLS output estimation. However, I have some minor concerns to further develop the submitted manuscript as follows.

- 1. The purpose and contribution of the research are still unclear. Please clearly indicate them in the introduction section.
- 2. The transformation of proposed hypotheses into a statistical model is pivotal.
- 3. It is written and indicated in the manuscript that based on the Chow, Hausman and LM test, the recommended test is by using OLS model. However, due to the limited number of supported hypothesis, please consider the other alternatives to retest the hypothesis testing, either using the fixed effect model (FEM) or random effect model (REM). Put the results of FEM and REM together with the OLS output in the same Table (Table 6).
- 4. The discussion section should be written coherently, not in section per section.

Date 4 May 2018

Reviewer's signature:

Berto Usma

E-mail : irjbs@pmbs.ac.id Phone : (62-21) 751 1126 ext. 8860, 8863-8865

: (62-21) 765 3110 website: www.irjbs.com